UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| JAMES L. SOUTHALL, JR., | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | Hon. Janet T. Neff |
| v. | Case No. 1:09-cv-00620 |
| KENT, COUNTY OF, | |
| Defendant. | |

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has initiated the present action against Kent County (Dkt. 1). The Court has granted Plaintiff's motion to proceed as a pauper in this matter (Dkt. 3). Having granted Plaintiff's motion to proceed as a pauper, the Court has conducted an initial review of the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) to determine whether it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Having conducted this initial review, the Court concludes that Plaintiff's complaint must be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), a claim may be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted where, even accepting as true Plaintiff's allegations and construing the complaint liberally in Plaintiff's favor, it appears "beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Herron v. Harrison*, 203 F.3d 410, 414 (6th Cir. 2000) (quoting *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 106 (1976)).

Plaintiff's one page complaint reads in its entirety as follows:

military balls in food can shock & video record fungus in food tap in food & camera im asking to be rewarded 10 million James Southall Jr liquid plasma eyes on internet as racist malice

(Dkt. 1).

The Complaint asserts no facts which, if proven, would entitle Plaintiff to relief under any theory. Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: July 21, 2009 /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY United States Magistrate Judge

OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within ten (10) days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).